



Detecting Corrosion Inhibitor Migration Depth in Topically-Treated Concrete Using DART-MS

Ming Shen¹, Marek Domin², Mark Christianson¹

¹Cortec Corporation, St Paul, Minnesota ²Eugene F. Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Boston, Massachusetts

Direct Analysis in Real Time Mass Spectrometry (DART-MS) is an ambient atmosphere mass spectrometry technique. It allows quick analysis with minimum sample preparation. DART-MS was used to detect inhibitor penetration depths into the concrete interior when its surface received topical migrating inhibitor treatment. Two different concrete topical treatments were studied. One is a penetrating silane sealer. The other is a pure migrating inhibitor topical product. Concrete chips at various depths were taken at different times after the concrete surface was given a topical treatment. The analysis showed that 5 weeks after receiving the silane sealer, the corrosion inhibitor in the sealer was present at 3cm (1.2 inches) below the treated surface. Testing on concrete cores taken 12 weeks after the pure inhibitor topical treatment indicated that one inhibitor was detected as deep as 7.6cm (3 inches) below the concrete interior and become available for protection of embedded steel reinforcement.

Keywords: concrete, steel reinforcement, corrosion mitigation, migrating corrosion inhibitor, DART-MS, topical inhibitor treatment

INTRODUCTION

Across America and the world, structures are being used longer than their original design life. Maintenance is often significantly delayed – leading to even more damage, increasing the cost of repairs and decreasing the useful service life. The American Society of Civil Engineers

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.

The material presented and the views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author(s) and are not necessarily endorsed by the Association.

(ASCE)⁽¹⁾ releases a report card on the American infrastructure every four years. The grades are A (Exceptions), B (Good), C (Mediocre), D (Poor) and F (Failing).Since 1998, the grades have been near failing, averaging only Ds, due to delayed maintenance and underinvestment across most categories¹. The average grade for the 2013 report is a D+, and they estimate that an investment of \$3.6 trillion dollars is needed by the year 2020 to improve the infrastructure to a grade of B¹.

Spearheaded by the American Concrete Institute (ACI)⁽²⁾ and the International Concrete Repair Institute (ICRI)⁽³⁾, durability models such as LIFE-365^{*} have been developed in an effort to better evaluate life cycle performance of infrastructures and to reward practices that enhance durability and eco-friendly designs².

To meet the challenge of safely extending the existing infrastructure service life, migrating corrosion inhibitor (MCI)* topical treatments have been developed since mid-1980's³. The inhibitors are mostly in the family of vapor phase corrosion inhibitors (VpCI)*, or a blend of volatile corrosion inhibitors and contact corrosion inhibitors³. One of the advantages of topical treatment is its ease of use on existing structures. It is also economical compared to other remedial procedures.

Studies on efficacy of topical corrosion inhibitor treatments have shown that this type of mitigation technique reduced corrosion rates by 93%⁴, or extended the life expectancy by more than 15-20 years⁵. Furthermore, XPS analysis of the rebar embedded in concrete topically treated with corrosion inhibitors showed that the inhibitor penetrated into rebar surfaces and formed protective layer⁵.

Construction engineers or contractors, however, often like to know how deep or how soon the corrosion inhibitors in topical treatment would migrate from a treated surface into the concrete interior and avail themselves for the protection of embedded rebar. A simple straightforward detection method is desired.

Direct Analysis in Real Time – Mass Spectroscopy (DART-MS) is a mass spectroscopy technique that uses an atmospheric pressure ion source to instantaneously ionize gases, liquids and solids in open air under ambient conditions⁶. It allows analyzing samples in their native state with little or no preparation, and can produce rapid results. The ionized samples can be analyzed directly by mass spectrometer⁷. DART-MS has been used in analysis in the fragrance industry, pharmaceutical industry, foods and spices, forensic science, and health industry.

DART-MS was selected as a detection tool for migrating corrosion inhibitors in concrete due to its simple sample preparation requirements. The added advantage of analyzing sample in

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

⁽¹⁾ American Society of Civil Engineers, 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4400

⁽²⁾ American Concrete Institute, 18800 Country Club Dr, Farmington Hill, MI 48331-3439

⁽³⁾ International Concrete Repair Institute, 10600 West Higgins Road, Suite 607, Rosemont, IL 60018

^{*} Trade name

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.

The material presented and the views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author(s) and are not necessarily endorsed by the Association.

ambient atmosphere versus high vacuum required in XPS, makes DART-MS particularly suitable for analyzing volatile corrosion inhibitors.

Reported here are the results of DART-MS analysis on concrete specimens at various depths from concretes that received topical corrosion inhibitor treatments. Two topical treatments were studied. Treatment A is a silane sealer containing corrosion inhibitor. Treatment B is a water-based surface treatment product of corrosion inhibitors. Results of DART-MS analysis demonstrated that inhibitors from the surface treatment migrates into the concrete interior and can be detected as deep as 3 inches (7.6 cm) below a treated surface, and avail them to the embedded steel reinforcement for their corrosion protection.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Topical Treatments: Two corrosion inhibitor topical treatments were studied. Treatment A is a silane sealer containing migrating corrosion inhibitor. Treatment B is a water-based surface treatment product of migrating corrosion inhibitors.

Concrete: Table 1 describes concretes and the surface treatment they received prior to DART-MS analysis.

Treatment A was applied to a surface of a newly-made concrete block (10 cm X 10 cm X 10 cm). 5 weeks after the treatment, the block was cut length-wise. Concrete chips at surface, at 0.6 inches (1.5 cm), and at 1.2 inches (3 cm) below the treated surface were chiseled out for analysis.

Treatment B was applied to an existing concrete structure, circa 1920. The treated surfaces were the underside of an outdoor plaza floor and its supporting beams in a crawl space below grade. Cores were taken 12 weeks after the treatment. The analysis of two cores taken from the supporting beams (B1 and B2) and one core taken from the plaza floor underside (U) are presented here. Again, concrete chips at various depths were chiseled out of the cores for analysis. Table 2 illustrates the labeling of each concrete chip for Treatment B. Blanks, concrete that received no topical treatment, were also analyzed with DART-MS as controls.

Topical Treatment	A	В
Concrete Description	Type 1 Portland Cement; water/cement ratio 0.45. Cured 28 days prior to receive topical treatment.	Existing concrete structure, circa 1920.
Topical Treatment Dosage	125ft ² /gal (3 m ² /liter)	150ft ² /gal (3.68 m ² /liter)
Time Lapse Between Topical Treatment and Concrete Sampling	5 weeks	12 Weeks
Depths analyzed	Surface, 1.5cm (0.6"), 3cm	Surface, 0.6" (1.5cm), 1.2"

Table 1 Concrete Specimens and Corrosion Inhibitor Surface Treatment Received

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.

The material presented and the views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author(s) and are not necessarily endorsed by the Association.

(1.2") below surface	(3cm), 1.8" (4.8cm), 2.4" (6.1cm), 3" (7.6cm) below surface
(1.2) below surface	(6.1cm), 3" (7.6cm) bel surface.

Specimens	Depth	Note
B1-1	Surface	Beam
B1-2	0.6" (1.5cm)	Beam
B1-3	1.2" (3cm)	Beam
B1-4	1.8" (4.8cm)	Beam
B2-1	Surface	Beam 2
B2-2	0.6" (1.5cm)	Beam 2
B2-3	1.2" (3cm)	Beam 2
B2-4	1.8" (4.8cm)	Beam 2
U-1	Surface	Floor underside
U-2	0.6" (1.5cm)	Floor underside
U-3	1.2" (3cm)	Floor underside
U-4	1.8" (4.8cm)	Floor underside
U-5	2.4" (6.1cm)	Floor underside
U-6	3" (7.6cm)	Floor underside

Table 2.abeling of Concrete Specimens (Topical Treatment B)

DART-MS parameters: An Accutof time-of-flight (TOF)* mass spectrometer operated in positive ion mode was employed for mass measurements. The mass spectrometer resolving power was ~6000 (FWHM definition) as measured for protonated reserpine (m/z 609.2812). A mass spectrum of poly (ethylene glycol) (Sigma*, St. Louis, MO, USA) with average molecular weight 600 (50µl in 10ml MeOH) was obtained with each data acquisition as a reference standard for exact mass measurement's. The atmospheric pressure interface was typically operated at the following potentials: orifice 1 = 30V, orifice 2 = 5V, ring lens = 10V. The RF ion guide voltage was set to 300V to allow detection of ions greater than m/z 30. The DART ion source was operated with helium gas (Airgas*, Cambridge, MA, USA) at 400°C. The mass range was m/z 30-600.

Concert samples were held in the gas stream for a few seconds, using a pair of forceps, taking care not to block the mass spectrometer sample cone entrance.

RESULTS

Treatment A

* Trade Name

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.

Treatment A contains one inhibitor. Its manifestation in DART-MS is a peak at m/z 90.1. This peak was detected at the surface, at 0.6 inches (1.5cm), and at 1.2 inches (3 cm) below the treated surface when the concrete was analyzed 5 weeks after the topical treatment. This peak was not detected in untreated concrete (control), Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Mass Spectra of Treatment A Coated Concrete at Various Depths

Treatment B

Treatment B contains a number of inhibitors. Inhibitor I manifests as a peak at m/z 62, inhibitor II at 100.1, and inhibitor III at 90.1. A summary of inhibitor detection at various concrete chips is in Table 3.

Inhibitors Detection at various Depths (Treatment B)				
Specimens	Inhibitor I	Inhibitor II	Inhibitor III	
B1-1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
B1-2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
B1-3	Yes	Yes	No	
B1-4	Yes	Yes	No	
B2-1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
B2-2	Yes	Yes	No	
B2-3	Yes	No	No	
B2-4	Yes	Yes	Yes	
U-1	Yes	Yes	Yes	
U-2	Yes	Yes	No	
U-3	Yes	Yes	No	
U-4	Yes	Yes	No	
U-5	Yes	Yes	No	
U-6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Control	No	No	No	

Table 3
Inhibitors Detection at Various Depths (Treatment B)

DART-MS data in Table 3 shows that inhibitor I and inhibitor II were detected at all depths, as deep as down to 3 inches (7.6 cm) below the surface that received Treatment A. The only exception is inhibitor II in specimen B2-3. One possible explanation for the absence of inhibitor II in this analysis of B2-3 could be that the ion beam hit at not the cement paste but at interior of an aggregate, since inhibitors mostly migrate within the cementitious material, but not aggregates. Inhibitor I and II were detected in all the treated concrete samples -- 2 cores from the treated beams and 1 core from the treated underside of the plaza floor. Inhibitor III was detected less consistently at various depths. It was shown in all the surface specimens, and at 1.8 inches (4.8 cm) depth in specimen B2-4 and at 3 inches (7.6 cm) depth in U-6, but was absent in other specimens.

Penetration depth data of inhibitor I and inhibitor II in concrete indicates that these inhibitors are present at the depth of embedded rebar; they are available to be adsorbed onto the rebar surface to form a protective layer. This data corresponds to the XPS analysis of embedded rebar in topically treated concrete⁵.

Representative mass spectra of Treatment B, of 4 concrete chips at different depths from core B1 (taken from the treated beam), and of a concrete chip taken from an untreated area of the beam (control), are shown in Figure 2.

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.





©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.



Figure 2.3 – Mass Spectrum of Concrete at 0.6 inches (1.5 cm) below Treated Surface



Figure 2.4 - Mass Spectrum of Concrete at 1.2 inches (3 cm) below Treated Surface

©2016 by NACE International.

Requests for permission to publish this manuscript in any form, in part or in whole, must be in writing to

NACE International, Publications Division, 15835 Park Ten Place, Houston, Texas 77084.



Figure 2.5 - Mass Spectrum of Concrete at 1.8 inches (4.8 cm) below Treated Surface



Figure 2 – Mass Spectra of Treatment B Coated Concrete Related

Conclusions

DART-MS analysis of concrete chips, taken at various depths of concrete, shows that the inhibitors migrated to the concrete interior from the treated surface, to as deep as 3 inches below. The surface treatment could be in the form of a sealer containing corrosion inhibitor such as Treatment A; or in the form of a water-based corrosion inhibitor product such as Treatment B. Inhibitor migration occurs in newly made concrete and also in existing concrete structures, making the migrating inhibitor treatment a valuable tool in rehabilitating our aging infrastructure.

References

- 1. The American Society of Civil Engineers, "Infrastructure Grades for 2013", <u>www.infrastructurereportcard.org</u>, viewed on Sept 30, 2015
- 2. American Concrete Institute, "Life-365 Software Overview", <u>http://www.life-365.org/overview.html</u>, viewed on Sept 30, 2015
- 3. D. Bjegovic and B. Miksic, "Migrating Corrosion Inhibitor Protection of Concrete", *Material Performance*, 38, 11 (1999) p. 36-41
- 4. Berke, NS, etc, "Organofunctional Silane Inhibitor Surface Treatment for Migrating Corrosion of Steel in Concrete", Corrosion 2012, paper no. 0001445 (Salt Lake City, UT: NACE, 2012)
- 5. B, Behzad and L, Reiner, "Improving Durability of Reinforced Concrete Structures using Migrating Corrosion Inhibitors", Concrete Innovation Conference, (Oslo, Norway: Rilem Society, 2014)
- 6. R.B. Cody, J.A. Laramée, H.D. Durst. "Versatile New Ion Source for the Analysis of Materials in Open Air under Ambient Conditions". *Anal. Chem.* **77**, 8 (2005): pp 2297–2302
- 7. M. Domin, and R. Cody, *Ambient Ionization Mass Spectrometry* (Washington DC, USA, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014). p. 2